

Argumentative essay example for college:

The debate over whether or not stricter gun control laws would reduce violence in the United States has been an ongoing dialogue for decades. On one side of the debate, those who believe that stricter regulations will help reduce violence point out the statistics around gun related deaths in the United States compared to other countries with much stricter laws and lower rates of gun-related homicides. They also cite individual events such as mass shootings as evidence of how guns are too easily available in the United States and how, with tighter restrictions, these events could have been prevented.

On the other side of the debate are those who argue that stricter gun control laws will not reduce violence; rather, they point to data showing that violent crime rates in states with more relaxed gun laws have actually gone down over time. They also argue that gun control measures would disproportionately impact law-abiding citizens, as criminals would still find ways to obtain firearms even if it were illegal.

So, who is right? There is no easy answer, as there are pros and cons to both sides of the argument. However, what we can say for sure is that the debate over how best to handle gun control laws needs to continue in order for us to better understand the issue and make decisions that are in the best interests of everyone involved. Only then will we be able to find a solution that works for both sides of the debate.

In conclusion, while there is no one-size-fits-all answer to the debate over gun control, it is clear that continued dialogue and research is needed in order to find a solution that works for everyone. By understanding both sides of the argument and taking into consideration the data from multiple sources, we can eventually come to an agreement that will help reduce violence while still allowing citizens their right to bear arms.